

KURSLITTERATUR

PIL303, Breddad lärarkompetens: Bedömning och examination (VT26)

Litteratur

- Barman, L., Bolander-Laksov, K., & Silén, C. (2014). Policy enacted – teachers' approaches to an outcome-based framework for course design. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 19(7), 735–746.
- Biggs, J. (2003). Aligning Teaching and Assessment to Course Objectives. *Teaching and Learning in Higher Education: New Trends and Innovations*. University of Aveiro.
- Bloxham, S., den-Outer, B., Hudson, J. & Price, M. (2016). Let's stop the pretense of consistent marking: exploring the multiple limitations of assessment criteria. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 41(3), 466-481. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2015.1024607>
- Corbin, T., Dawson, P., & Liu, D. (2025). Talk is cheap: why structural assessment changes are needed for a time of GenAI. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 50(7), 1087–1097. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2025.2503964>
- Crooks, T. J., Kane, M. T. & Cohen, A. S. (1996). Threats to the Valid Use of Assessments. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 3(3), 265-286. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969594960030302>
- Jonsson, A., Panadero, E., Pinedo, L., & Fernández-Castilla, B. (2025). Using rubrics for formative purposes: identifying factors that may affect the success of rubric implementations. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 32(2), 192–211.
- Loughlin, C., Lygo-Baker, S. & Lindberg-Sand, Å. (2021). Reclaiming constructive alignment. *European Journal of Higher Education*, 11(2), 119-136. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21568235.2020.1816197>
- Luo (Jess), J. (2024). A critical review of GenAI policies in higher education assessment: a call to reconsider the “originality” of students’ work. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 49(5), 651–664. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2024.2309963>
- Newton, P. E. & Shaw, S. D. (2014). Validity in educational and psychological assessment. SAGE publications. [Chapter 1: Validity and validation]
- Winstone, N.E. & Boud, D. (2020). The need to disentangle assessment and feedback in higher education, *Studies in Higher Education*, 47:3, 656-667. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2020.1779687>

Ytterligare litteratur kan tillkomma. Kursdeltagare kan också behöva komplettera denna litteraturlista med individuellt valda texter, beroende på deras val av inriktning för den individuella skriftliga kursuppgiften.

Ytterligare referenser:

- American Educational Research Association, American Psychological Association, & National Council on Measurement in Education. (2014). Standards for educational and psychological testing. Washington, DC: AERA. <https://www.testingstandards.net/open-access-files.html>
- Bennett, R. E. (2011). Formative assessment: a critical review. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 18(1), 5-25.
- Bergqvist, J. (2015). Att sätta praxis på pränt. En handbok i att skriva betygskriterier. Lunds universitet.
- Carless, D. & Winstone, N. (2020). Teacher feedback literacy and its interplay with student feedback literacy, *Teaching in Higher Education*.
- Cronbach, L. J. & Meehl, P. E. (1955). Construct validity in psychological tests. *Psychological Bulletin*, 52(4), 281-302.
- Gardner, J. (Ed.). (2012). *Assessment and Learning* (2 ed.). London: Sage Publications.
- Gipps, C. & Murphy, P. (1994). *A fair test? Assessment, achievement and equity. Assessing assessment*. Maidenhead, BRK, England: Open University Press.
- Gipps, C. (1999). Socio-Cultural Aspects of Assessment. *Review of Research in Education*, 24, 355-392.
- Härnqvist, K. (1999). En akademisk fråga: en ESO-rapport om ranking av C-uppsatser: rapport till Expertgruppen för studier i offentlig ekonomi (Ds 1999:65) (kap. 1: Sammanfattning och slutsatser, s. 13–25). Tillgänglig via https://www.riksdagen.se/sv/dokument-och-lagar/dokument/departementsserien/en-akademisk-fraga-en-eso-rapport-om-ranking-av_gnb465/
- Kane, M. T. (2013). Validating the Interpretations and Uses of Test Scores. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 50(1), 1-73.
- Kane, M., Crooks, T. & Cohen, A. (1999). Validating Measures of Performance. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 5(17), 5-17. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3992.1999.tb00010.x>
- Koretz, Daniel M. (2008). *Measuring up: what educational testing really tells us*. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press
- Krathwohl, D. R. (2002). A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy: An Overview. *Theory Into Practice*, 41(4), 212–218. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip4104_2
- Messick, S. (1995). Validity of Psychological Assessment. *American Psychologist*, 50(9), 741-749.

- Moss, P., Girard, B. & Haniford, L. (2006). *Validity in Educational Assessment. Review of Research in Education*, 30(1), 109-162. London: Sage Publications.
- Newton, P. (2007) Clarifying the purposes of educational assessment. *Assessment in Education*, 14(2), 149-170.
- Pereira, D., Flores, M.A., & Niklasson, L. (2016). Assessment revisited: a review of research in *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education. Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 41(7), 1008-1032. 24 sidor.
- Qvortrup, A. & Bering Kelding, T. (2015). Portfolio assessment: production and reduction of complexity. *Assessment in Higher Education*, 40(3), 407-419.
- Sadler, R. (1989). Formative assessment and the design of instructional systems. *Instructional Science*, 18(2), 119-144.
- Taras, M. (2005). Assessment – Summative and Formative – Some Theoretical Reflections. *British Journal of Educational Studies* 53(4), 466-478.
- Torrance, H. (2007). Assessment as learning? How the use of explicit learning objectives, assessment criteria and feedback in post-secondary education and training can come to dominate learning. *Assessment in education*, 14(3), 281-294.
- Willingham, W.W. & Cole, N.S. (2013). *Gender and Fair Assessment*. New York: Routledge.

Flervalsfrågor – ytterligare referenser

- Downing, S. M. (2006). Selected-Response Item Formats in Test Development. I S. M. Downing & T. M. Haladyna (Red.), *Handbook of Test Development* (s. 287-301). Mahwah: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Haladyna, T. M. (2004). *Developing and Validating Multiple-Choice Test Items*. New York: Routledge Taylor & Francis Group.
- Morrison, S. & Free, K. (2001). Writing multiple-choice test items that promote and measure critical thinking. *Journal of Nursing Education*, 40, 17-24.
- Rodriguez, M. C. (2005). Three options are optimal for multiple-choice items: A meta-analysis of 80 years of research. I *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 24(2), 3-13. Philadelphia: National Council on Measurement in Education.

<https://cft.vanderbilt.edu/guides-sub-pages/writing-good-multiple-choice-test-questions/>

<https://testing.byu.edu/handbooks/betteritems.pdf>

Webbresurser

Högskoleverket (1997). Examinationen inom högskolan. Slutrapport från Högskoleverkets examinationsprojekt. Rapport nr 1997:39 R. [Summary in English]
<https://gamla.uka.se/download/18.12f25798156a345894e29a2/1487841906512/9739R.pdf>

Nätverket för samverkan inom högre utbildning (2010). Examination – en exempelsamling: Vanliga och mindre vanliga sätt att värdera studieresultat.
https://pervenio.ucl.slu.se/kursutveckling/dok/nshu_Examination.pdf [Swedish only]

Universitetskanslersämbetet (2020). Fair examination [Rättssäker examination]. Fourth edition.
<https://www.uka.se/download/18.3275c80e18529ebcf50b8a7/1675066683336/Fair%20examination.pdf>